



**Via Email Only**

April 23, 2014

**Meeting Summary  
Community Workshop**

**RE: F-56 Park Site Master Plan**

Date: May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2017

Time: 6:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

Attendees: **City of Roseville (City):** Tara Gee,  
**Callander Associates (CA):** Ben Woodside, Melissa Ruth, Iqra Anwar, Amanda Holtman

The purpose of this meeting was to introduce the community to the F-56 Park Site Master Plan process. The goals of the meeting were to inform the community about the project, explain the process of developing a master plan and gather feedback on the program for the park. After a formal introductory presentation, the attendees were asked to help design the park. The goal of the interactive exercise was to bring together people with different ideas to help determine what would work best for the park site. Each of the 6 groups was given templates, a plan and markers. The only rules were to incorporate the already prescribed park amenities. Additional amenities/program ideas were welcome in this design exercise. Below is a summary of our findings from the analysis of the plans. The summary is broken down by items or themes similar across all plans and individual ideas that we recommend warrant further discussion.

**Summary of Ideas Across All Plans**

**Follow Up Needed**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Majority of groups had recreation components near the Fiddymont Historic Zone and described them as support facilities:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Play Area</li> <li>b. Picnic Facilities</li> <li>c. Garden</li> <li>d. Parking</li> <li>e. Restrooms</li> </ol> </li> <li>2. Majority had ballfields placed in similar fashion in the joint use area. Was about 50/50 on ballfields to the south and tennis to the north.</li> <li>3. Included other amenities in the joint use zone such as picnic areas, playground, and parking.</li> <li>4. Comments about safe crossings over the proposed high school road.</li> </ol> | <p>Create schematic concept layout for review by City team.</p> <p>Keep ballfields located in relationship with school ballfield.</p> <p>Consider all support amenities in design.</p> |
|--|--|

**BURLINGAME**  
1633 Bayshore Highway, Suite 133  
Burlingame, CA 94010  
T 650.375.1313  
F 650.344.3290

**SAN JOSE**  
300 South First Street, Suite 232  
San Jose, CA 95113  
T 408.275.0565  
F 408.275.8047

**GOLD RIVER**  
12150 Tributary Point Drive, Suite 140  
Gold River, CA 95670  
T 916.985.4366  
F 916.985.4391

Recreate  
Educate  
Live+Work  
Connect  
Sustain  
[www.callanderassociates.com](http://www.callanderassociates.com)

**Summary of Ideas Across All Plans**

**Follow Up Needed**

- 
- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 5. Many added templates for restrooms and were specific on locations near amenities.  | Restrooms should include concessions and storage too.                          |
| 6. Not much support for other recreation components such as multi-use courts.         | Review with team potential opportunities for sports courts if it meets budget. |
| 7. Most designs have like amenities clustered together.                               |  |
| 8. Most designs arranged parking lots in two central spots to support all activities. | Discuss concerns with parking related to neighboring project.                  |

**Individual Ideas**

**Follow Up Needed**

Group 1- Blackbird:

1. Perimeter bike trail and integrated pedestrian paths.
2. Historic theme park play area near Fiddymment House.
3. Parking lot that supports large baseball field.

Review bike and pedestrian path layouts and connections, especially at high school property and soccer complex.

Group 2- Cottonwood:

1. Splash Pad
2. Farm to Fork Garden
3. Buffer from neighborhood for noise and lights.
4. Include picnic and play areas near ballfields.

Provide examples of effective buffers and lighting technology.  
Consider low cost impact water features.

Group 3- Willow:

1. Add restrooms
2. Large parking lot between soccer and Fiddymment house
3. Focus on program opportunities between the high school and house.

Discuss program further.  
Address concerns with parking near highschool and pay to play lot at soccer complex.

Group 4- Meadowlark:

1. Minimal improvements at the Fiddymment house.
2. Wedding, garden and picnic area are okay.
3. Gravel parking lot for house at highschool road.

Address possible events at Fiddymment House.

**Meeting Summary**

**F-56 Park Site Master Plan**

**RE: Community Workshop #1**

May 15<sup>th</sup>, 2017

Page 3 of 3

***Individual Ideas***

Group 5- Jackrabbit:

1. Large central parking lot
2. Connection to high school with safe crossing
3. Separated baseball and softball fields

Group 6- Oak:

1. Wagonwheel style field arrangement
2. Barn looking support structure at Fiddymont house for events.
3. Consider a more active use of the history of the site. Interactive exhibits, role play, STEM program, and agriculture technologies.

***Follow Up Needed***

Discuss crossing concepts.

Discuss programing options appropriate for the site and to consider in designing the space.

The information above is Callander Associates' understanding of items discussed and notes taken at the public workshop. The above comments are a summary of what were on each groups concepts. There may be repeated information that was not noted on the specific concept plan. Additional comments from each group can be seen in the attached photos of the group plans.

Submitted by:

Melissa Ruth  
Callander Associates

cc: All attendees

Attachments: Photos of Concept Plans from groups 1 thru 6, dated May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2017.