



Parks, Recreation & Libraries
316 Vernon Street, Suite 400, Roseville, CA 95746

October 29, 2018

WB-51 Public Workshop #2

I. Introductions

Tara Gee: City of Roseville, Park Planning & Development Superintendent

Rjahja Canlas: City of Roseville, Park Development Analyst

Joel De Jong: City of Roseville, Park Development Analyst

Stacie Marchetti: City of Roseville, Park, Recreation & Libraries Administrative Technician

Purpose of the Meeting

- The purpose of this meeting was to review what was discussed at the first meeting and review an updated park concept sketch based on the feedback we received at the first public workshop.

II. Overview

- Tara Gee reviewed
 - The difference between Neighborhood Parks and City-Wide parks
 - Neighborhood Parks are parks surrounded by residents and are designed to have a stay and play value of approximately a 1 hour visit
 - City-Wide Parks are designed to be parks with multiple experiences for park visitors to enjoy all day long.
 - WB-51 Parksite is to be designed as a Neighborhood Park.
- Joel DeJong reviewed map of WB-51 and surrounding area
 - Discussed amenities amenities of other parks in the area and the importance of balance of amenities between all the parks of the areas
 - WB-51: pointed out location of the park on the area map
 - Sierra Crossing Park (school site): large turf area, adult fitness equipment, water play feature, playground
 - W-51: basketball court, pickleball, multi-use turf, adult fitness equipment, playground
 - Astill Park: horseshoe pits, game tables, playground, turf area
 - Nichols Park (school site): baseball fields, playgrounds, multi-use turf, restrooms
 - Nela Luken Park: Misting water feature, multi-use turf, stage, playground, restrooms

- Pistachio Park (city-wide parksite): next to brand new high school, baseball fields, softball fields, lighted tennis courts, lighted pickleball courts, group picnic areas, restrooms, natural gardens
- Tara Gee reviewed budget. Budget for this park is about \$950,000. This budget pays for construction of the park, architects, engineers, plans, and permitting.
- Tara Gee reveals the concept drawing for WB-51 Park. Amenities included in the concept sketch are game tables, concrete corn hole boards, disc golf goal, concrete foosball table, picnic area with shade structure, adult fitness equipment, playground.

III. Comments/Questions/Concerns

- Basketball courts in every park, why is that?
 - Everybody seems to love basketball courts. We do put in half courts to make it more conducive to family play and provide an environment for less intense play.
- Are the playgrounds and swings covered?
 - Right now it is not in the budget to cover the swings and play structure.
 - Another resident seconded the desire for a covered shade structure.
- How many picnic tables are planned?
 - There will be 4 – 5 picnic tables
- Can you clarify what a “game table is”?
 - Game tables are picnic tables with a checkboard top to allow for chess or checkers play.
- What is the elevation?
 - There is a little bit of contouring and elevation but not too much due to environmental restrictions.
- Could we possibly add a shade structure over the play structure at a later date?
 - There is still another parksite in this development area, but if there are funds left after both parks are constructed then we can look at adding a shade structure.
- What material is used for the play structure?
 - Steel aluminum, plastic slides and plastic panels.
- Do the play structure always have to be made out of those materials? Do we ever consider alternate materials that do not hold heat as much?
 - When we pick our materials and suppliers we look at how long the company has been and likely will be around, how easy it is to get replacement parts and what the durability of the material is. All of these factors help us to evaluate quality, durability and maintenance in making our decision on material and play structure.
- What type of adult fitness equipment will there be?
 - We have not picked it specifically yet but it will probably be static.
- Do any parks have sand box areas?

- Sierra Crossing has sand, however we are strategic about sand areas because sand tends to turn into a litter box.
- Would we consider any sliding hills with synthetic turf?
 - Artificial turf is very expensive and gets very hot in the summer, so it is not ideal for a neighborhood park.
- Can we relocate the Frisbee golf goal so that people are not throwing their Frisbee toward into the street?
 - Yes, we can look at alternate locations for the Frisbee Golf Goal.

The sketch will be revised given the feedback from this meeting. Upon completion, a two week write-in period will open. The revised colored draft master plan will be posted online and feedback would be requested from all. We encourage all feedback, including support of the plan and additional comments. This will help us determine if we move forward for formal approval or not. In a recent write-in period, we had little feedback so we held a third workshop. When residents were asked, they all liked the plan and wondered why we were gathering. Without feedback, we did not have enough information to determine support.

Email notices will be sent to those who have attended the past workshops as well as to the Westpark and Fiddymont Neighborhood Associations for announcement. Please check our web site at the link below for updates.

<http://www.roseville.ca.us/cms/One.aspx?portalId=7964922&pageId=11074176>