



Meeting Notes

February 9, 2005

The Growth Management Visioning Committee was called to order on February 9, 2005 at 7:00 PM in the Corporation Yard Meeting Rooms 2 and 3, 2005 Hilltop Circle, Roseville, California.

I. Silent Roll Call

Committee Members Present:	Jerry Aplant	Krista Bernasconi
	Rex Clark	Ann Diamondstone
	Traver Dougherty	Marilyn Festersen
	Guy Gibson	Philolis Goode
	David Larson	Sharon Manke
	John Mason	Linda Mitsch
	Jeffrey Ray	Janice Rosenthal-Rock
	Bob Smith	
	Joe Velky	Pam Wilkinson

Alternate Members in attendance: None

Committee members absent:	Sam Cannon	Teri Edwards
	Matthew See	

II. Introduction

Paul Downs stated the committee is near the end and will be evaluating the scenarios that have been evolving over the course of the committee. Tonight the committee will confirm the core growth management principals stemming from Roseville's General Plan Land Use Element.

The committee discussed the remaining schedule of meetings. The committee was scheduled to meet on March 23rd but changed the date to March 30th due to schedule conflicts. The following is a tentative schedule of the remaining meetings:

February 23rd, Review and refine recommendations
March 9th, Public workshop
March 30th, Final meeting
April 20th, Present findings to the City Council

III. Confirmation of Core Growth Management Concepts

Paul Downs stated one of the committee's tasks is to make recommendations about changes to the City's general plan growth policies.

Paul Downs reviewed the following eight Core Growth Management Concepts:

- Open Space Transition Buffer
- Fiscal Sustainability
- High Quality Infrastructure and Services
- Comprehensive Large Scale Planning
- Performance Based
- Community Benefit
- Roseville Identity and Character
- Stakeholder Buy-in and Accountability

Committee members and staff had the following comments:

- There needs to be clear community separators and open space as a buffer when appropriate.
- The General Plan talks about having an open space buffer between the developed areas of the city to the unincorporated agricultural parts of the county.
- Clarify title – add the word western. Change to Open Space Transition on the Western Buffer.
- Roseville identity and character; apply an edge concept.

IV. Discussion of Scenarios

Committee members were mailed a worksheet with five scenarios and asked to rate the scenarios and identify the advantages and disadvantages of each scenario. Paul Downs explained to the committee that they could make several recommendations to the City Council and the recommendations could be identified with changing results, based on changing circumstances. The following scenarios were discussed:

County Planning and Development in Unincorporated Land

- Scenario as it currently is. No additional planning taking place. The committee is not in favor of this scenario.

1. Significant Agricultural and Open Space Preservation

- Not confident the County will continue to see the need for open space.
- Create joint gains – sharing responsibilities involving City, County and State planning.
- Negative experiences in the past dealing with Placer County Planning Department.

2. Roseville Annexation

- We need to encourage the Council to meet with the County and LAFCO to develop an agreement that covers the open space to develop a new Sphere of Influence.
- Acknowledge if Roseville continues to annex, the small town feeling won't last long.
- We can't just annex because we want to. We need to collaborate with the County.

- What is the incentive for other jurisdictions to participate in Roseville's annexation? We will need to offer them "carrots".
- A "carrot" for the County may be that they have involvement in how the annexed area evolves.
- The more "carrots" we offer the County, the more likely they will be to allow annexation.
- Annexation is in the best interest of our citizen's.

3. New Community

- Can we have a say in how a new community would be developed?
- As a committee, to recommend this to the City Council, we would be telling them to endorse a new community that we don't have control over.
- Plan ahead, as the 100,000 new people may want to become their own community some day.
- Are we able to annex the land, establish a new city then release responsibility from the City of Roseville? This way the new city will be established with the City of Roseville's goals and vision.
- A new community may be a good idea to avoid creating such a sprawl on Roseville.
- Create a new city like a specific plan; establish a vision, add infrastructure, then add people.
- Roseville has doubled in the last ten years but continued to maintain small town feel because that was important.
- The dependency of a new City would draw on the utility resources from Roseville.
- Will people from the far west area of Roseville still come downtown to parades and street fairs? Will they come to the downtown area?
- Downtown activities and revitalization efforts will be a draw for residents to come. People will continue to want to come downtown.
- Roseville has accomplished so much as a City; we should continue to receive benefits from adding additional residents (i.e. tax base, increased funding for public safety, upgraded utilities, etc.).

4. Sub-regional Joint Visioning and Planning

- Years of planning and thousands of dollars have been spent already planning the West Plan. Are we just looking at the areas that haven't been planned?
- Placer Village has applied for development but hasn't been approved. The rest of the area isn't currently in the planning stages.
- Don't the new developments need to purchase utilities from us? Do we have leverage?
- Staff: there are limitations on utilities. Different projects have proposals in terms of where the services come from. Some of the proposals will need to rely on services from Roseville.
- If we have control of some areas, we may choose to leave some of the area as open space instead of developing all of the land.
- Are we discussing the areas all the way out to the Sutter County line? Yes.
- Sphere of Influence also belongs in Sub-regional Joint Visioning and Planning, in addition to annexation.



- Needed to formalize partnerships now to set up our boundaries before we begin “bumping elbows”.
- The Pajaro Valley recognized that all jurisdictions had to work together. We need partnerships and cooperation from the County. We have no guarantees.
- Why don't we start with a “What if” story and continue from there. What if we developed a Sphere of Influence that extends further West, possibly as far as Brewer Road and see how other ideas might fall in place. We may find other opportunities happening.
- Create a decision tree (if this, then scenario A; if that, then scenario B).

V. Summary of Next Steps

February 23rd, Review and refine recommendations
March 15th, Public workshop
March 30th, Final meeting
April 20th, Present findings to the City Council

VI. Public Comment

Roseville resident Ernie McPherson asked the committee not to give up on the “carrots”, they do work.

Roseville resident Jack Wallace stated he felt the committee was being negative towards the county.