# CITY OF ROSEVILLE

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

## CITY OF ROSEVILLE

# SINGLE AUDIT REPORT YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary of Findings and Questioned Costs	1
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	<sup>∠</sup>
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	5
Report on Compliance and Internal Control Over Compliance Applicable to Each Major Federal Award Program	7
Summary of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs Prepared by Management	<u>9</u>



#### ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

1931 San Miguel Drive - Suite 100 Walnut Creek, California 94596 (925) 930-0902 • FAX (925) 930-0135 E-Mail: maze@mazeassociates.com Website: www.mazeassociates.com

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of Roseville, California

We have audited the basic financial statements of the City of Roseville, California, for the year ended June 30, 2002 and have issued our unqualified report thereon December 6, 2002. These basic financial statements are the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these basic financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in the United States of America; Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Non profit Organizations. We performed a Single Audit as requested by the City to comply with the provisions of the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB A-133 and are required to present the following summary:

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### Audit of Basic Financial Statements

Our audit did not disclose any reportable conditions, or material weaknesses or instances of noncompliance material to the basic financial statements. However we did communicate matters to the City Council in our separate Memorandum on Internal Controls dated December 6, 2002.

#### Audit of Major Programs

Our audit did not disclose any reportable conditions or material weaknesses in internal controls over major programs. We have issued an unqualified opinion on compliance with the requirements applicable to major programs.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued)

# Identification of Major Programs

The Section 8 Low Income Housing Program, (CFDA#14.871), and U.S. Department of Justice – Local Law Enforcement Block Grant, (CFDA# 16.592) were determined to be major programs.

# Dollar Threshold Used to Distinguish Between Type A and Type B Programs

The threshold for Type A programs was \$300,000.

#### Organizational Risk Evaluation

The City was assessed as a low risk auditee based on prior years reporting results, our overall knowledge of the City and other criteria specified by the Office of Management and Budget.

#### FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

There were no findings required to be reported under Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards in the United States of America.

# FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

There were no findings or questioned costs required to be reportable under OMB Circular A-133 section .510(a).

## SUMMARY OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS

Included in this report is the current status of prior year findings prepared by management.

Mazo + Associata

December 6, 2002

#### CITY OF ROSEVILLE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

Program Name	Pass-Through Entity Grant Number	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number	Program Expenditures
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		71	
Low Income Housing Program (Section 8)  Voucher		14.871	\$2,431,321
Total Low Income Housing Program			2,431,321
Community Development Block Grant			
	B-99-MC-060043 B-00-MC-060043		
D 14	B-01-MC-060043	14.218	505,287
Program Expenditures Loan Program:			304040000000000
Balance of Loans		14.218	911,278
Total Community Development Block Grants			1,416,565
HOME Funds (Passed through State Department of Housing and Community Dev	99-HOME-0394 00-HOME-0465		
Program Expenditures	01-HOME-0532	14.239	35,180
Loan Program: Balance of Loans		14.239	3,354,057
Total HOME Funds			3,389,237
TOTAL POWIE Pullus			
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	a		7,237,123
U.S. Department of Justice Community Oriented Policing Services		16.710	62,730
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant	1999-LB-VX-7201 2000-LB-BX-0803	16.592	102,410
Total U.S. Department of Justice			165,140
Federal Emergency Management Agency (Passed through State Office of Emergency Services) Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (Elevations)  Total Federal Emergency Management Agency	FEMA 1044-DR-CA	83.548	129,461 129,461
U.S. Department of Transportation (Passed through State Office of Traffic Safety) Buckle Up Baby Beware of Seven Dui Campaign Roseville Speed Reduction Project		20.600 20.600 20.600	227,133 59,217 40,313
(Passed through State Department of Transportation) Highway Planning and Construction Grants Connecting Atlantic Street and Pacific Street	CML - 5182 (010)	20.205	<u>326,663</u> <u>85,267</u>
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			411,930
TOTAL FEDERAL FINANCIAL AWARDS			\$7,943,654

#### NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

#### Note 1 - Reporting Entity

The Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards includes expenditures of federal awards for the City of Roseville, the City of Roseville Redevelopment Agency, the Roseville Finance Authority and the City of Roseville Housing Authority which are controlled by and dependent on the City. These governments form the reporting entity included in the basic financial statements of the City Roseville.

The Redevelopment Agency of the City of Roseville is a separate government entity whose purpose is to prepare and implement plans for improvement, rehabilitation, and development of certain areas within the City. The Agency is controlled by the City and has the same governing board as the City, which also performs all accounting and administrative functions for the Agency. The financial activities of the Agency have been included in these financial statements in the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Roseville Special Revenue Fund.

The Roseville Finance Authority is a separate government entity whose purpose is to assist with the financing or refinancing of certain public capital facilities within the City. The Authority has the power to purchase bonds issued by any local agency at public or negotiated sale and may sell such bonds to public or private purchasers at public or negotiated sale. The Authority is controlled by the City and has the same governing body as the City, which also performs all accounting and administrative functions for the Authority. The financial activities of the Authority are included in the Roseville Finance Authority Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund.

The City of Roseville Housing Authority is a separate government entity whose purpose is to assist with the housing for the City's low and moderate income residents. The Authority is controlled by the City and has the same governing body as the City, which also performs all accounting and administrative functions for the Authority. The financial activities of the Authority are included in the Housing Authority Section 8 Special Revenue Fund.

Financial statements for the Redevelopment Agency may be obtained from the City of Roseville at 311 Vernon Street, Suite 206, Roseville, California, 95678. Separate financial statements for the Roseville Finance Authority and Roseville Housing Authority are not issued.

#### Note 2 - Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements, regardless of the measurement focus applied. All governmental funds and agency funds are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting.

Expenditures of Federal Awards reported on the Schedule are recognized when incurred. An exception to this rule is expenditures of federal awards for loan programs. The City operates the CDBG and HOME loan programs under which it must insure participants maintain compliance with program requirements on an on going basis. OMB Circular A-133 section .205(b) requires that expenditures for the above program include the balance of loans outstanding plus cash received from the program.

# NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

# Note 3 - Direct and Pass-Through Federal Awards

Federal awards may be granted directly to the City by the federal granting agency or may be granted to other government agencies which pass-thorough federal awards to the City. The Schedule includes both of these types Federal award programs.



#### ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

1931 San Miguel Drive - Suite 100 Walnut Creek, California 94596 (925) 930-0902 • FAX (925) 930-0135 E-Mail: maze@mazeassociates.com Website: www.mazeassociates.com

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Roseville, California

We have audited the basic financial statements of City of Roseville as of and for the year ended June 30, 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2002. We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses. However we did communicate other matters to City Council in our separate Memorandum on Internal Controls dated December 6, 2002.

This report is intended for the information of the City Council, management and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

December 6, 2002



#### **ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION**

1931 San Miguel Drive - Suite 100 Walnut Creek, California 94596 (925) 930-0902 • FAX (925) 930-0135 E-Mail: maze@mazeassociates.com Website: www.mazeassociates.com

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAM

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Roseville, California

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Roseville with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2002. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City of Roseville complied in all material respects with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2002. The results of our auditing procedures disclosed no instances of noncompliance with those requirements that are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

City management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

#### Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the basic financial statements of City of Roseville as of and for the year ended June 30, 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2002. Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements of the City of Roseville taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The information in that schedule has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and in our opinion is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended for the information of the City Council, management and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Maze Associate

December 6, 2002

# SUMMARY OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS PREPARED BY MANAGEMENT

#### Finding 01-1: HOME Program Post-Rehabilitation Inspections

The Standard Agreement with the State Department of Housing and Community Development for the HOME Program requires that inspections be performed following the completion of loan-funded rehabilitation projects. These inspections must be documented to assure that the rehabilitation work is carried out in accordance with contract specifications. During fiscal year 2001, one of the HOME program files tested did not contain the necessary documentation of the post-rehabilitation inspection.

#### **Current Status:**

The file in question was not a housing rehabilitation file, it was for a first-time homebuyer that had an initial and subsequent HQS/Local Codes inspection. Staff pulled the file for verification of items that had been identified in the original report, and the items were listed for minor repairs, which in the HQS inspection would qualify as "PASS, with comment" versus "FAILED" inspection items, which affect habitability. Typically, sellers fix those items, if an actual seller is in this transaction. Due to the fact that this was a bank repossession, assurances were made that those items would be fixed, using an escrow hold out of funds (since work cannot be done prior to acquisition by purchaser, for legal reasons).

Therefore, the home was in compliance (before acquisition) with Property Standards 92.251(a)(2) "All other HOME-assisted housing must meet all applicable State and local housing quality standards and code requirements and if there are no such standards or code requirements, the housing must meet the housing quality standards in CFR 982.401."

Below is a list of the items that were identified that needed attention, but would not qualify as rehabilitation:

- 1) Replace glass at rake window in kitchen
- 2) Replace deteriorated rear door
- 3) Repair Temperature/Relief tube as needed to comply with 1997 UPC (Which, since this home was built in 1954, the 1997 UPC would not apply, but the inspector was being overly zealous in citing this item)

As a result there was \$440 held out in escrow to fix the repairs identified above. Once again, the unit did pass HQS standards prior to acquisition, but needed some minor repairs as suggested by the inspector.